arXiv:1105.3411v3 [math.CO] 3 Oct 2011

F-factors in hypergraphs via absorption[*]

Allan Lo and Klas Markström

Department of Mathematics and Mathematical Statistics,
Umeå University, S-901 87 Umeå, Sweden
`allan.lo@math.umu.se, klas.markstrom@math.umu.se`

January 21, 2013

Abstract

For integers $n \geq k > l \geq 1$ and k-graphs F, define $t_l^k(n, F)$ to be the smallest integer d such that every k-graph H of order n with minimum l-degree $\delta_l(H) \geq d$ contains an F-factor. A classical theorem of Hajnal and Szemerédi [9] implies that $t_1^2(n, K_t) = (1 - 1/t)n$ for integers t. For $k \geq 3$, $t_{k-1}^k(n, K_k^k)$ (the $\delta_{k-1}(H)$ threshold for perfect matchings) has been determined by Kühn and Osthus [17] (asymptotically) and Rödl, Ruciński and Szemerédi [22] (exactly).

In this paper, we generalise the absorption technique of Rödl, Ruciński and Szemerédi [22] to F-factors. We determine the asymptotic values of $t_1^k(n, K_k^k(m))$ for $k = 3, 4$ and $m \geq 1$. In addition, we show that

$$t_{k-1}^k(n, K_t^k) \leq \left(1 - \frac{1+\gamma}{\binom{t-1}{k-1}}\right) n$$

for some $\gamma > 0$ as well as constructing a lower bound on $t_2^3(n, K_t^3)$. In particular, we deduce that $t_2^3(n, K_4^3) = (3/4 + o(1))n$ answering a question of Pikhurko [20].

1 Introduction

Given graphs G and F, an *F-factor* (or *perfect F-tiling*) in G is a spanning subgraph consisting of vertex disjoint copies of F. Clearly, G contains an F-factor only if $|F|$ divides $|G|$. Given an integer t and a graph G of order n with $t|n$, we would like to know the minimum degree threshold that guarantees a K_t-factor in G. Notice that the minimum degree must be at least $(t-1)n/t$ by considering a complete t-partite graph with partition classes $V_1, \ldots, V_t$ with $|V_1| = n/t - 1$, $|V_t| = n/t + 1$ and $|V_i| = n/t$ for $1 < i < t$. In fact, $\delta(G) \geq (t-1)n/t$ suffices. For $t = 2$, this can be easily verified using Dirac's Theorem [4]. Corrádi and Hajnal [3] proved the case for $t = 3$. All remaining cases $t \geq 4$ can be verified by a classical theorem of Hajnal and Szemerédi [9]. In this paper, we ask the same question for hypergraphs.

---

[*] 2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: Primary 05C65, 05C70, 05C07. Key words and phrases: hypergraph, k-graph, F-factor, minimum degree

We denote by $\binom{U}{l}$ the set of all l-sets of U. A *k-uniform hypergraph*, *k-graph* for short, is a pair $H = (V(H), E(H))$, where $V(H)$ is a finite set of vertices and $E(H) \subset \binom{V(H)}{k}$. Often we write V instead of $V(H)$ when it is clear from the context. For a k-graph H and an l-set $T \in \binom{V}{l}$, let $\deg(T)$ be the number of $(k-l)$-sets $S \in \binom{V}{k-l}$ such that $S \cup T$ is an edge in H, and let $\delta_l(H)$ be the *minimum l-degree* of H, that is, $\min \deg(T)$ over all $T \in \binom{V}{l}$. Note that $\delta_1(H)$ (or $\delta_{k-1}(H)$) are the minimum vertex degree (or codegree respectively) of H.

Analogously, given a hypergraph H and a family $\mathcal{F}$ of hypergraphs, an $\mathcal{F}$-factor is a spanning subgraph consisting of vertex disjoint copies of members of $\mathcal{F}$. For a family $\mathcal{F}$ of k-graphs, define $t_l^k(n, \mathcal{F})$ to be the smallest integer d such that every k-graph H of order n satisfying $\delta_l(H) \geq d$ contains an $\mathcal{F}$-factor. Throughout this paper, $\mathcal{F}$ is assumed to be $\{F\}$, so we simply write F-factor and $t_l^k(n, F)$. Moreover, we always assume that $|F|$ divides n whenever we talk about $t_l^k(n, F)$. If $l = k-1$, we simply write $t^k(n, F)$. Let $t_l^k(n, t)$ denote $t_l^k(n, K_t^k)$, where K_t^k is a complete k-graph on t vertices. Thus, $t^2(n, t) = (t-1)n/t$. For graphs (that is, 2-graphs) F, there is a large body of research on $t^2(n, F)$, for surveys see [18, 23].

However, only a few values of $t_l^k(n, F)$ are determined for k-graphs F, $k \geq 3$ and $1 \leq l < k$. Note that K_k^k is a single edge, so a K_k^k-factor is equivalent to a perfect matching. Kühn and Osthus [17] showed that $t^k(n, k) = n/2 + O(\sqrt{n \log n})$. Later, Rödl, Ruciński and Szemerédi [22] evaluated the exact value of $t^k(n, k)$ using an absorption technique. Hán, Person and Schacht [10] conjectured that

$$t_l^k(n, k) \approx \max\left\{\frac{1}{2}, 1 - \left(1 - \frac{1}{k}\right)^{k-l}\right\}\binom{n}{k}.$$

We recommend [21] for a survey of the recent developments in $t_l^k(n, k)$. Pikhurko [20] showed that $3n/4 - 2 \leq t^3(n, 4) \leq 0.860n$ and asked whether $t^3(n, 4) = 3n/4 - 2$. For the unique 3-graph F of order 4 and size 2, Kühn and Osthus [16] showed that $t^3(n, F) = (1/4 + o(1))n$. Recently, Kierstead and Mubayi [14] proved a generalisation of Hajnal-Szemerédi theorem for 3-graphs and vertex degree, which implies that

$$t_1^3(n, t) \leq \left(1 - \frac{c}{t^2 \log^4(n/t)}\right)\binom{n}{2}$$

for some constant $c > 0$.

One of the key techniques in finding perfect matchings (evaluating $t_l^k(n, k)$) is the absorption technique, which was first introduced by Rödl, Ruciński and Szemerédi [22]. Roughly speaking, the absorption technique reduce the task of finding a perfect matching in H to finding an almost perfect matching, that is, a matching covering all but at most $\epsilon|H|$ vertices for some small $\epsilon > 0$. Here, we generalise the absorption technique to F-factors.

For a k-graph H and a vertex set $U \subset V(H)$, $H[U]$ is the subgraph of H induced by the vertices of U. We write v to mean the set $\{v\}$ when it is clear from the context. Given a k-graph F with $|F| = t$, an integer $i \geq 1$ and a constant $\eta > 0$, we say that a vertex x is *(F, i, η)-close* to a vertex y if there exist ηn^{it-1} sets $S \in \binom{V(H)}{it-1}$ of size $it - 1$ such that $S \cap \{x, y\} = \emptyset$ and both $H[S \cup x]$ and $H[S \cup y]$ contain F-factors. Moreover, H is said to be *(F, i, η)-closed* if every two vertices are (F, i, η)-close to each other.

We say that H has an almost F-factor $\mathcal{T}$ to mean that $\mathcal{T}$ is a set of vertex disjoint copies of F in H such that $|V(H)\backslash V(\mathcal{T})| < \epsilon|H|$ for small $\epsilon > 0$. We now state the absorption lemma for F-factors.

Lemma 1.1 (Absorption lemma for F-factors)**.** *Let t and i be positive integers and let $\eta > 0$. Let F be a hypergraph of order t. Then, there is an integer n_0 satisfying the following: Suppose that H is a hypergraph of order $n \geq n_0$ and H is (F, i, η)-closed, then there exists a vertex subset $U \subset V(H)$ of size $|U| \leq (\eta/2)^t n/4$ such that there exists an F-factor in $H[U \cup W]$ for every vertex set $W \subset V\backslash U$ of size $|W| \leq (\eta/2)^{2t} tn/32$ with $|W| \in t\mathbb{Z}$.*

Note that in the above lemma H and F are not necessarily k-graphs, but we only consider k-graphs here. Equipped with the absorption lemma, we can break down the task of finding an F-factor in large hypergraphs H into the following algorithm.

Algorithm for finding F-factors.

1. *Remove a small set $\mathcal{T}_1$ of vertex disjoint copies of F from H such that the resultant graph $H_1 = H[V\backslash V(\mathcal{T}_1)]$ is (F, i, η)-closed for some integer i and constant $\eta > 0$.*
2. *Find a vertex set U in $V(H_1)$ satisfying the conditions of the absorption lemma. Set $H_2 = H_1[V(H_1)\backslash U]$.*
3. *Show that H_2 contains an almost F-factor, i.e. a set $\mathcal{T}_2$ of vertex disjoint copies of F such that $|V(H_2)\backslash V(\mathcal{T}_2)| < \epsilon|H_2|$ for small $\epsilon > 0$.*
4. *Set $W = V(H_2)\backslash V(\mathcal{T}_2)$. Since $H_2[U \cup W]$ contains an F-factor $\mathcal{T}_3$ by the choice of U, $\mathcal{T}_1 \cup \mathcal{T}_2 \cup \mathcal{T}_3$ is an F-factor in H.*

We now apply the algorithm to various k-graphs F. We would like to point out that Steps 1 and 3 of the algorithm require most of the work.

A k-graph H is *k-partite* with partition $V_1, \ldots, V_k$, if $V = V_1 \cup \cdots \cup V_k$ and every edge intersects every V_i in exactly one vertex. We denote by $K_k^k(m_1, \ldots, m_k)$ the complete k-partite k-graph with parts of sizes m_1, $\ldots$, m_k. If $m = m_i$ for $1 \leq i \leq m_k$, we simply write $K_k^k(m)$. Clearly,

$$t_l^k(n, K_k^k(m)) \geq t_l^k(n, K_k^k) = t_l^k(n, k).$$

As a simple application of the absorption lemma, we show that $t_1^k(n, K_k^k(m))$ equals $t_1^k(n, k)$ asymptotically for integers $k = 3, 4$ and $m \geq 1$.

Theorem 1.2. *For integers $m \geq 1$ and $k = 3, 4$*

$$t_1^k(n, K_k^k(m)) = t_1^k(n, k) + o(n^{k-1}) = \begin{cases} \left(\frac{5}{9} + o(1)\right)\binom{n}{2} & \text{if } k = 3 \\ \left(\frac{37}{64} + o(1)\right)\binom{n}{3} & \text{if } k = 4. \end{cases}$$

For $m = 1$, Hán, Person, Schacht [10] evaluated $t_1^3(n, 3)$ asymptotically. Kühn, Osthus and Treglown [19] and independently Khan [12] determined the exact value of $t_1^3(n, 3)$. Also, Khan [13] evaluated $t_1^4(n, 4)$ exactly.

Recall that $t^k(n, t) = t_{k-1}^k(n, K_t^k)$. For $t = k + 1$, we give a lower bound on $t^k(n, k+1)$ for $k \geq 4$ as well as bounding $t^k(n, k+1)$ from below for $k \geq 4$ even.

Theorem 1.3. *Given an integer $k \geq 3$ and a constant $\gamma > 0$, there exists an integer $n_0 = n_0(k, \gamma)$ such that for $n \geq n_0$ and $k + 1|n$*

$$t^k(n, k+1) \leq \left(1 - \frac{k + \mathbf{1}_{k,odd}}{2k^2} + \gamma\right) n,$$

where $\mathbf{1}_{k,odd} = 1$ if k is odd and $\mathbf{1}_{k,odd} = 0$ otherwise. Moreover, $t^k(n, k+1) \geq 2n/3$ for integers $k \geq 4$ even.

Furthermore, we bound from above $t^k(n,t)$ from above for $t > k \geq 3$.

Theorem 1.4. *For integers $n \geq t > k \geq 3$ with $t|n$,*

$$t^k(n,t) \leq \left(1 - \frac{1+\gamma}{\binom{t-1}{k-1}}\right) n,$$

where γ is a strictly positive function tending to zero as t and n tends to infinity. In particular, $t^3(n,4) \leq (3/4 + o(1))n$.

Pikhurko [20] showed that $t^3(n,4) \geq 3n/4 - 2$, so $t^3(n,4) = (3/4 + o(1))n$. In fact, Theorem 1.4 is derived from the following stronger statement.

Theorem 1.4′. *For integers $t > k \geq 3$ and constants $\gamma > 0$, there exists an integer $n_0 = n_0(k,t,\gamma)$ such that for $n \geq n_0$ and $t|n$*

$$t^k(n,t) \leq \left(1 - \min\left\{\beta(k,t), \frac{t-1}{t\binom{t-1}{k-1}}\right\} + \gamma\right) n,$$

where the function $\beta(k,t)$ is explicitly defined in Section 7.

We would like to point out that the terms $\beta(k,t)$ and $\frac{t-1}{t\binom{t-1}{k-1}}$ come from Step 1 and Step 3 of the algorithm respectively. We believe that the bound in Theorem 1.4 is sharp in the sense that $\delta_{k-1}(H) > \left(1 - \binom{t-1}{k-1}^{-1}\right) n$ is needed to guarantee that every K^k_{t-1} in H can be extended to a K^k_t. For $k = 3$, we give the following lower bound on $t^3(n,t)$.

Proposition 1.5. *For integers $n > t \geq 4$ with n sufficiently large and $t|n$,*

$$t^3(n,t) \geq (1 - C\log t/t^2)n,$$

where $C > 0$ is an absolute constant independent of n and t.

However, for $t > k \geq 4$, we know that $t^k(n,t) \geq (1 - (k-1)/t)\, n - k + 2$. Indeed, this is true by considering the following k-graph H of order n such that there exists a vertex subset W of size $|W| = (t-k+1)n/t - 1$ and every edge in H meets W. Thus, we ask the following question.

Question 1.6. *How does $t^k(n,t)$ behave for $t \geq k \geq 3$ as $n \to \infty$? Is $t^3(n,t) \sim \left(1 - C\log t/t^2\right) n$ or $t^3(n,t) \sim \left(1 - C/t^2\right) n$ for n large and some constant C?*

As an auxiliary result, we also prove the following.

Theorem 1.7. *Let $c, \epsilon > 0$ be constants. Let $t \geq 3$ and $\lambda \geq 1$ be integers such that $(\lambda t)^{2\epsilon}(\log \lambda t)^{1-\epsilon} \leq ct^2$. Then, there exist absolute constants $0 < c_1 \leq c_2$ independent of c, ϵ, t and λ such that*

$$\frac{c_1 \lambda t^2}{\log(\lambda t)} \leq R(B_\lambda, K^3_t) \leq \frac{c_2 \lambda t^2}{\log(\lambda t)},$$

where $B_\lambda = K^3_3(1, 1, \lambda + 1)$.

2 Layout of the paper and preliminaries

The paper is structured as follows. First, we set up some basic notations in Section 2.1 as well as studying some properties of being (F, i, η)-close. Next, we look at the Ramsey number $R(B_\lambda, K_t^3)$, Theorem 1.7, in Section 2.2. In Section 3, we prove the absorption lemma, Lemma 1.1, Then, we apply the absorption lemma to prove Theorem 1.2, that is evaluating $t_1^k(n, K_k^k(m))$ for integers $k = 3, 4$ and $m \geq 1$ in Section 4.

The remainder of the paper is focused on bounding $t^k(n,t)$. In Section 5, we bound $t^k(n,t)$ below by constructions. We give a lower bound on $t^k(n, k+1)$ for $k \geq 4$ even, followed by a lower bound on $t^3(n,t)$, which proves Proposition 1.5. In Section 6, we bound $t^k(n, k+1)$ from above, which proves Theorem 1.3. With further works, we bound $t^k(n,t)$ from above, which proves Theorem 1.4 in Sections 7.

2.1 Notations

For $a \in \mathbb{N}$, we refer to the set $\{1, \dots, a\}$ as $[a]$.

Throughout this paper, H is assumed to be a k-graph H of order n. The *maximum l-degree* $\Delta_l(H)$ is simply the maximal $\deg(T)$ over all $T \in \binom{V}{l}$. Given an l-set $T \in \binom{V}{l}$, the *neighbourhood $N(T)$ of* T is the set of $(k-l)$-sets $S \in \binom{V}{k-l}$ such that $T \cup S$ is an edge in H. Clearly, $\deg(T) = |N(T)|$. For s-sets $S \in \binom{V}{s}$, define

$$L(S) = \begin{cases} V \backslash S & \text{if } s < k-1 \\ \bigcap_{T \in \binom{S}{k-1}} N(T) & \text{if } s \geq k-1. \end{cases}$$

For k-graphs F, integers i and constants $\eta > 0$, denote by $\widetilde{N}_{F,i,\eta}(x)$ the set of vertices $y \in V(H)$ that are (F, i, η)-close to vertex x. We say that a vertex set U is (F, i, η)-closed in H if for distinct vertices $x, y \in U$, x is (F, i, η)-close to y.

In the next two propositions, we show that the property of being (F, i, η)-close is 'additive'.

Proposition 2.1. *Let i_1, i_2 and t be positive integers and let $\eta_1, \eta_2, \epsilon > 0$ be constants. Let F and H be k-graphs of order t and $n \geq n_0(i_1, i_2, t, \eta_1, \eta_2, \epsilon)$ respectively. If*

$$|\widetilde{N}_{F,i_1,\eta_1}(x) \cap \widetilde{N}_{F,i_2,\eta_2}(y)| \geq \epsilon n$$

for two distinct vertices $x, y \in V(H)$, then x is $(F, i_1 + i_2, \eta)$-close to y, where $\eta = \eta(i_1, i_2, t, \eta_1, \eta_2, \epsilon) > 0$.

Proof. Let $m_j = i_j t - 1$ for $j = 1, 2$ and $m = m_1 + m_2 + 1$. Let $n_0 = \max\{2\eta_1^{-1}, 2i_1 t \eta_2^{-1}\}$ and $\eta = \epsilon \eta_1 \eta_2 / (4m!)$. Fix $z \in \widetilde{N}_{F,i_1,\eta_1}(x) \cap \widetilde{N}_{F,i_2,\eta_2}(y)$. Since $z \in \widetilde{N}_{F,i_1,\eta_1}(x)$, there are at least

$$\eta_1 n^{m_1} - n^{m_1 - 1} \geq \eta_1 n^{m_1}/2$$

m_1-sets S_x such that $S_x \cap \{x, y, z\} = \emptyset$ and both $H[S_x \cup x]$ and $H[S_x \cup z]$ contain F-factors, and fix one such S_x. Similarly, there are at least

$$\eta_2 n^{m_2} - (i_1 + 1) n^{m_2 - 1} \geq \eta_2 n^{m_2}/2$$

m_2-sets S_y such that $S_y \cap (S_x \cup \{x, y, z\}) = \emptyset$ and both $H[S_y \cup y]$ and $H[S_y \cup z]$ contain F-factors, and fix one such S_y. Set $S = S_x \cup S_y \cup z$.

Note that both $H[S \cup x]$ and $H[S \cup y]$ contain F-factors and $|S| = m$. Furthermore, note that there are at least

$$\frac{\epsilon n \times \eta_1 n^{m_1}/2 \times \eta_2 n^{m_2}/2}{m!} = \eta n^m$$

such S, so x is $(F, i_1 + i_2, \eta)$-close to y. □

By a similar argument, we have the following proposition of which the proof is omitted.

Proposition 2.2. *Let i_1, i_2 and t be positive integers and let $\eta_1, \eta_2, \epsilon > 0$ be constants. Let F and H be k-graphs of order t and $n \geq n_0(i_1, i_2, t, \eta_1, \eta_2, \epsilon)$ respectively. If y is (F, i_1, η_1) close to x and $|\tilde{N}_{F,i_2,\eta_2}(x)| \geq \epsilon n$, then x is $(F, i_1 + i_2, \eta)$-close to y, where $\eta = \eta(i_1, i_2, t, \eta_1, \eta_2, \epsilon) > 0$.*

Since $\tilde{N}_{F,i,\eta_i}(x) \subset \tilde{N}_{F,1,\eta_1}(x)$ by a suitable choice of η_1, by the above proposition the following two statements are equivalent:

- For every pair of vertices $x, y \in V(H)$, x is $(F, i_{x,y}, \eta_{x,y})$-close to y for $i_{x,y} \leq i_0$ and $\eta_{x,y} > 0$.
- H is (F, i_0, η_0)-closed for some $\eta_0 > 0$.

2.2 Ramsey number of 3-graphs

Recall that the *Ramsey number* $R(S, T)$ of k-graphs S and T is the minimum integer N such that if we edge colour K_N^k with colours red and blue then there exists a red monochromatic S or blue monochromatic T. Given an integer $\lambda \geq 0$, let B_λ be the 3-graph on vertex set $\{x, y, z_1, \ldots, z_{\lambda+1}\}$ with edges xyz_i for $1 \leq i \leq \lambda + 1$. In other words, $B_\lambda = K_3^3(1, 1, \lambda + 1)$.

First we bound $R(B_\lambda, K_t^3)$ from below. A *partial $t-(n, k, \lambda)$ design* is a family $\mathcal{J}$ of k-sets in $[n]$ such that every t-set T is contained in at most λ k-sets in $\mathcal{J}$. Note that a partial $2-(n, 3, \lambda)$ design does not contain a B_λ. We are going to construct a partial $t-(n, k, \lambda)$ design with a small independence number by modifying a construction of Kostochka, Mubayi, Rödl and Tetali [15]. It should be noted that Grable, Phelps and Rödl [8] constructed $2-(n, k, \lambda)$ design with small independence number, but n is a even power of a sufficient large prime.

Proposition 2.3. *For integers $t, k, \lambda, x > 0$, there exists a partial $t-(n, k, \lambda)$ design H with*

$$n = \left\lceil \left(8(k)_t \binom{k-1}{t} \binom{k}{t} \frac{\lambda x^{k-1}}{\log \lambda x} \right)^{1/(k-t)} \right\rceil$$

and the independence number of H is less than x.

Proof. We consider the following constrained random process. First we order all k-sets of $[n]$ at random: $E_1, \ldots, E_{\binom{n}{k}}$. Let H_0 be the empty graph on vertex set $[n]$. For $1 \leq j \leq \binom{n}{k}$, set $H_j = H_{j-1} + E_j$ if $H_{j-1} + E_j$ is a partial $t-(n, k, \lambda)$ design, otherwise $H_j = H_{j-1}$. Let $H = H_{\binom{n}{k}}$. Our aim is to show that with positive probability that the independence number of H is less than x.

Fix an x-set X. Let B_X be the event that X is an independent set in $H(n, k)$. Observe that B_X implies that for every k-set $T \subset X$ there exist an $S \in \binom{T}{t}$ and edges $E_{l_1}, \ldots, E_{l_\lambda}$ not in X preceded T (in the ordering) with $S \subset E_{l_i}$ for $1 \leq j \leq \lambda$. This implies $t \leq |E_{l_j} \cap X| \leq k - 1$

for $1 \le j \le \lambda$. Call an edge E in H a witness for $T \in \binom{X}{k}$ not to be included in H if E precedes T in the ordering and $|E \cap T| \ge t$. Thus, in order for B_X to happen, each $T \in \binom{X}{k}$ must have at least λ witnesses. Each edge E in H can be a witness for at most $\binom{k-1}{t}\binom{x-t}{k-t}$ k-sets $T \subset X$. Therefore, if B_X happens, there are at least

$$m = \frac{\lambda\binom{x}{k}}{\binom{k-1}{t}\binom{x-t}{k-t}}.$$

witnesses.

For $j \ge 1$, let $A_j = A_{X,j}$ denote the event that the first j edges E_{l_1}, E_{l_2}, …, E_{l_j} in H satisfy $t \le |E_{l_i} \cap X| < k$ for $1 \le i \le j$. Note that B_X implies A_m. Our task is to bound the probability of A_m from above by $\binom{n}{x}^{-1}$. We further assume that E_{l_1} is the witness that appears first in the ordering, and that for each $1 \le j \le m$, E_{l_j} is the first witness which comes after $E_{l_{j-1}}$. Let $H^j = H_{l_j - 1}$ be the family of all k-sets included in $H(n,k)$ before jth witness E_{l_j} is chosen. For $1 \le j \le \lceil m/2 \rceil$, let $\mathcal{S}_j$ be the collection of all k-sets such that $|X \cap S| \ge t$ and $|E \cap S| < t$ for all but at most λ edges $E \in H^j$. The k-graph H^j contains precisely $j-1$ edges E with $t < |E \cap X| < k-1$. Each of these is a witness for at most

$$\binom{|E \cap X|}{t}\binom{x-t}{k-t} \le \binom{k-1}{t}\binom{x-t}{k-t}$$

k-sets $T \in \binom{X}{k}$. Consequently, the number of k-sets T in X with less than λ witnesses at this stage is

$$\begin{aligned}\left|\mathcal{S}_j \cap \binom{X}{k}\right| &\ge \binom{x}{k} - \frac{j-1}{\lambda}\binom{k-1}{t}\binom{x-t}{k-t} \\ &\ge \binom{x}{k} - \frac{\lceil m/2 \rceil - 1}{\lambda}\binom{k-1}{t}\binom{x-t}{k-t} \ge \frac{1}{2}\binom{x}{k}.\end{aligned}$$

Since $A_m \subset A_{m-1} \subset \cdots \subset A_1$, we have

$$P(A_m) = P(A_1)P(A_2|A_1)\dots P(A_m|A_{m-1}).$$

Note that each of the events A_1 and $A_{j+1}|A_j$, $j = 1, \dots, m-1$ corresponds to a random choice from the set $\mathcal{S}_j$ with the result that the chosen set belongs to $\mathcal{S}_j \backslash \binom{X}{k}$. Since $|\mathcal{S}_1| \le \binom{x}{t}\binom{n}{k-t}$ we have

$$P(A_1) = \frac{|\mathcal{S}_1| - \binom{x}{k}}{|\mathcal{S}_1|} \le 1 - \frac{\binom{x}{k}}{\binom{x}{t}\binom{n}{k-t}}.$$

Furthermore, for $1 < j \le \lceil m/2 \rceil$,

$$P(A_j|A_{j-1}) = \frac{|\mathcal{S}_j \backslash \binom{X}{k}|}{|\mathcal{S}_j|} = 1 - \frac{|\mathcal{S}_j \cap \binom{X}{k}|}{|\mathcal{S}_j|} \le 1 - \frac{\binom{x}{k}}{2\binom{x}{t}\binom{n}{k-t}}.$$

This yields

$$\begin{aligned}P(A_m) \le& P(A_1) \prod_{1 < j \le \lceil m/2 \rceil} P(A_j|A_{j-1}) \\ \le& \left(1 - \frac{\binom{x}{k}}{2\binom{x}{t}\binom{n}{k-t}}\right)^{\lceil m/2 \rceil} \\ \le& \exp\left(-\frac{\lambda (x)_k}{4(n)_{k-t}(k)_t\binom{k-1}{t}\binom{k}{t}}\right).\end{aligned}$$

Note that

$$\begin{aligned}\binom{n}{x}P(A_m) &\le \exp\left\{x\left(\log\frac{en}{x} - \frac{\lambda(x-1)_{k-1}}{4(n)_{k-t}(k)_t\binom{k-1}{t}\binom{k}{t}}\right)\right\}\\ &\le \exp\left\{x\left(\log\frac{en}{x} - \frac{\lambda x^{k-1}}{8n^{k-t}(k)_t\binom{k-1}{t}\binom{k}{t}}\right)\right\} < 1,\end{aligned}$$

where the last inequality holds due to our choice of n. Thus, by the union bound with positive probability that the independence number of H is less than x. ☐

Proof of Theorem 1.7. The lower bound is proved by Proposition 2.3, so it is enough to prove the upper bound. Let $n = c_2\lambda t^2/\log(\lambda t)$ and $\tau = \sqrt{\lambda n}$, where c_2 is a constant to be chosen later. Let H be a 3-graph of order n with $\Delta_2(H) \le \lambda$, so H does not contain a B_λ. It is enough to show that the independence number of H $\alpha(H) \ge t$. Note that $\Delta_1(H) \le \lambda n = \tau^2$. The number of 2-cycles, that is the number of B_1 in H, is at most $\binom{\lambda}{2}\binom{n}{2} \le n\tau^{3-\epsilon}$ by our choices of ϵ, c, λ and t. Then, by a theorem of Duke, Lefmann and Rödl [5], there exists a constant c'' such that

$$\alpha(H) \ge c''\frac{n}{\tau}\sqrt{\log\tau} = c''\sqrt{n\log(\lambda n)/2\lambda}$$

which is greater than t by choosing c_2 large. ☐

3 Proof of the absorption lemma

Here we prove the absorption lemma, Lemma 1.1, of which the proof is based on Hán, Person and Schacht [10].

Proof of Lemma 1.1. Let H be a hypergraph of order $n \ge n_0$ such that H is (F, i, η)-closed. Throughout the proof we may assume that n_0 is chosen to be sufficiently large. Set $m_1 = it - 1$ and $m = (t-1)(m_1+1)$. Furthermore, call a m-set $A \in \binom{V}{m}$ an *absorbing* m-set for a t-set $T \in \binom{V}{t}$ if $A \cap T = \emptyset$ and both $H[A]$ and $H[A \cup T]$ contain F-factors. Denote by $\mathcal{L}(T)$ the set of all absorbing m-sets for T. Next, we show that for every t-set T, there are many absorbing m-sets for T.

Claim 3.1. *For every t-set $T \in \binom{V}{t}$, $|\mathcal{L}(T)| \ge (\eta/2)^t\binom{n}{m}$.*

Proof. Let $T = \{v_1, \dots, v_t\}$ be fixed. Since v_1 and u are (F, i, η)-connected for $u \notin T$, there are at least ηn^{m_1} m_1-set S such that $H[S \cup v_1]$ contains an F-factor. Hence, by an averaging argument there are at least ηn^{t-1} copies of F containing v_1. Since n_0 was chosen large enough, there are at most $(t-1)n^{t-2} \le \eta n^{t-1}/2$ copies of F containing v_1 and v_j for some $2 \le j \le t$. Thus, there are at least $\eta n^{t-1}/2$ copies of F containing v_1 but none of $v_2, \dots, v_t$. We fix one such copy of F with $V(F) = \{v_1, u_2, \dots, u_t\}$. Set $U_1 = \{u_2, \dots, u_t\}$ and $W_0 = T$.

For each $2 \le j \le t$ and each pair u_j, v_j suppose we succeed to choose an m_1-set U_j such that U_j is disjoint from $W_{j-1} = U_{j-1} \cup W_{j-2}$ and both $H[S_j \cup u_j]$ and $H[S_j \cup v_j]$ contain F-factors. Then for a fixed $2 \le j \le t$ we call such a choice U_j *good*, motivated by $A = \bigcup_{1 \le j \le t} S_j$ being an absorbing m-set for T.

In each step $2 \le j \le t$, recall that u_j is (F, i, η)-closed to v_j, so there are at least ηn^{m_1} m_1-sets S such that $H[S \cup u_j]$ and $H[S \cup v_j]$ contain

F-factors. Note that there are $t+(j-1)m_1$ vertices in W_{j-1}. Thus, the number of such m_1-sets S intersecting W_j is at most

$$(t+(j-1)m_1)n^{m_1-1} \leq (t+(t-2)m_1)n^{t_1-1} < \eta n^{t_1}/2.$$

For each $2 \leq j \leq t$ there are at least $\eta n^{m_1}/2$ choices for S_j and in total we obtain $(\eta/2)^t n^m$ absorbing m-sets for T with multiplicity at most $m!$, so the claim holds. $\square$

Now, choose a family $\mathcal{F}$ of m-sets by selecting each of the $\binom{n}{m}$ possible m-sets independently with probability $p = (\eta/2)^t n/(8\binom{n}{m})$. Then, by Chernoff's bound (see e.g. [1]) with probability $1-o(1)$ as $n \to \infty$, the family $\mathcal{F}$ satisfies the following properties:

$$|\mathcal{F}| \leq (\eta/2)^t n/4 \tag{1}$$

and

$$|\mathcal{L}(T) \cap F| \geq (\eta/2)^{2t} n/16 \tag{2}$$

for all t-sets T. Furthermore, we can bound the expected number of intersecting m-sets by

$$\binom{n}{m} \times m \times \binom{n}{m-1} \times p^2 \leq \left(\frac{\eta}{2}\right)^{2t} \frac{n}{32}.$$

Thus, using Markov's inequality, we derive that with probability at least $1/2$

$$\mathcal{F} \text{ contains at most } \left(\frac{\eta}{2}\right)^{2l} \frac{n}{32} \text{ intersecting pairs.} \tag{3}$$

Hence, with positive probability the family $\mathcal{F}$ has all properties stated in (1), (2) and (3). By deleting all the intersecting m-sets and non-absorbing m-sets in such a family $\mathcal{F}$, we get a subfamily $\mathcal{F}'$ consisting of pairwise disjoint balanced m-sets, which satisfies

$$|\mathcal{L}(T) \cap \mathcal{F}'| \geq (\eta/2)^{2t} n/16 - (\eta/2)^{2t} n/32 = (\eta/2)^{2t} n/32 \tag{4}$$

for all t-sets T. Set $U = V(\mathcal{F}')$. Since $\mathcal{F}'$ consists only of absorbing m-sets, $H[U]$ has an F-factor. For a set $W \subset V \backslash U$ of size $|W| \leq (\eta/2)^{2t} tn/32$ and $|W| \in t\mathbb{Z}$, W can be partition in to at most $(\eta/2)^{2t} n/32$ t-sets. Each t-set can be successively absorbed using a different absorbing m-set, so $H[U \cup W]$ contains an F-factor. $\square$

4 $K_k^k(m)$-factors

Our aim of this section is to prove Theorem 1.2, which determines the asymptotic values of $t_1^3(n, K_3^3(m))$ and $t_1^4(n, K_4^4(m))$. Without loss of generality, we may assume that $m \geq 2$ for the remainder of this section.

For k-graphs H and constants $\alpha > 0$, a $(k-1)$-set $S \in \binom{V}{k-1}$ is said to be *α-good* for vertices x and y if $\deg(S) \geq \alpha n$ and $x, y \in N(S)$. Otherwise, S is *α-bad*. A pair of vertices (x, y) is *α-good* if there are at least $\alpha\binom{n}{k-1}$ α-good $(k-1)$-sets $S \in \binom{V}{k-1}$ for x and y. First, we need the following result of Erdős [6].

Theorem 4.1 (Erdős [6]). *For integers $k, m \geq 2$, there exists an integer $n_0 = n_0(k, m) > 0$ such that every k-graphs H on $n \geq n_0$ vertices with at least $n^{k-l^{1-k}}$ edges contains a $K_k^k(m)$.*

Corollary 4.2. *For integers $k, m \geq 2$ and constant $\beta > 0$, there exist a constant $c = c(\beta, k, m) > 0$ and an integer $n_0 = n_0(\beta, k, m) > 0$ such that for every k-graphs H on $n \geq n_0$ vertices with at least βn^k edges, there are at least cn^{km} copies of $K_k^k(m)$ in H.*

Now, we show that if (x, y) is α-good, then x and y are $(K_k^k(m), 1, \eta)$-close to each other.

Lemma 4.3. *Let $k, m \geq 2$ be integers and $\alpha > 0$. There exist a constant $\eta = \eta(\alpha, k, m)$ and an integer $n_0 = n_0(\alpha, k, m)$ such that for every k-graph H of order $n \geq n_0$, if (x, y) are α-good in H for $x, y \in V(H)$ then x and y are $(K_k^k(m), 1, \eta)$-closed to each other.*

Proof. Let H be a k-graph of order n sufficiently large. Suppose that (x, y) are α-good for $x, y \in V(H)$. By the definition of $(K_k^k(m), 1, \eta)$-close, it is enough to show that there exist at least cn^{kl-1} copies of $K_k^k(m, \ldots, m, m+1)$ (not necessarily vertex disjoint), which contains x and y in the partition of size $m+1$ for some constant $c = c(\alpha, k, m) > 0$. Since (x, y) is α-good, by an averaging argument there exist at least $\alpha n/2$ vertices z satisfying

$$|N(x) \cap N(y) \cap N(z)| \geq \alpha \binom{n}{k-1}.$$

Thus, there are at least $c' n^{(k-1)l}$ copies of $K_{k-1}^{k-1}(m)$ in $N(x) \cap N(y) \cap N(z)$ by Corollary 4.2. For each $(k-1)m$-set $T \subset V$, denote by $\deg'(T)$ the number of vertices $z \in V \backslash \{x, y\}$ such that $T \cup \{x, y, z\}$ forms a $K_k^k(m, \ldots, m, 3)$ of which the partition of size 3 is exactly $\{x, y, z\}$. Hence,

$$\sum_{T \in \binom{V}{(k-1)m}} \deg'(T) \geq c' n^{(k-1)m} \times \alpha n/2.$$

Therefore, the number of copies of $K_k^k(m, \ldots, m, m+1)$, of which the partition of size $m+1$ contains both x and y, is equal to

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{T \in \binom{V}{(k-1)m}} \binom{\deg'(T)}{m-1} &\geq \binom{n}{(k-1)m} \binom{\sum \deg'(T) / \binom{n}{(k-1)m}}{m-1} \\ &\geq \binom{n}{(k-1)m} \binom{\alpha c' n^{(k-1)m+1} / 2\binom{n}{(k-1)m}}{m-1} \geq cn^{km-1},\end{aligned}$$

for some suitable chosen constant $c = c(c', k, m) = c(\alpha, k, m)$ and n sufficiently large, where the first inequality is due to Jensen. ☐

By a similar argument, we also have the following result.

Proposition 4.4. *Let $k, m \geq 2$ be integers and $\gamma > 0$. There exist a constant $c = c(\gamma, k, m)$ and an integer $n_0 = n_0(\gamma, k, m)$ such that every k-graph H of order n with $\delta_1(H) \geq (1/2+\gamma)\binom{n}{k-1}$ and every vertex $v \in V$, there exists at least cn^{km-1} copies of $K_k^k(m)$ containing v.*

Also, we would need the following result from Khan [12, 13], which shows the existence of an almost $K_k^k(m)$-factors for $k = 3, 4$. The result was not stated explicitly, but it is easily seen from his proofs (for the non extremal cases).

Lemma 4.5 (Khan [12, 13])**.** *Let $k = 3, 4$ and $m \geq 2$ be integers. Let γ be a strictly positive constant. Then there exists an integer $n_0 = n_0(\gamma, k, m)$ such that every k-graph H of order $n \geq n_0$ with*

$$\delta_1(H) \geq \begin{cases} \left(\frac{5}{9} + \gamma\right)\binom{n}{2} & \text{if } k = 3 \\ \left(\frac{37}{64} + \gamma\right)\binom{n}{3} & \text{if } k = 4. \end{cases}$$

contains a set $\mathcal{T}$ of vertex disjoint copies of $K^k_k(m)$ in H covering all but at most γn vertices.

We are now ready to prove Theorem 1.2.

Proof of Theorem 1.2. Let $k = 3, 4$ and $m \geq 2$. Recall that

$$t^k_l(n, K^k_k(m)) \geq t^k_l(n, K^k_k),$$

so it is enough to show the upper bound. Let γ and α be strictly positively small constants with $\alpha << \gamma$. Let H be a k-graph of order n sufficiently large with

$$\delta_1(H) \geq \begin{cases} \left(\frac{5}{9} + \gamma\right)\binom{n}{2} & \text{if } k = 3 \\ \left(\frac{37}{64} + \gamma\right)\binom{n}{3} & \text{if } k = 4. \end{cases}$$

We are going to show that H contains a $K^k_k(m)$-factor. We follow the algorithm for finding F-factors as stated in Section 1.

Step 1 Since $\delta_1(H) \geq (1/2 + \gamma')\binom{n}{k-1}$, if (x, y) is α-bad then there are at least $(2\gamma' - \alpha)\binom{n}{k-1}$ α-bad $(k-1)$-sets $S \in N(x) \cap N(y)$. We claim that there are at most $\frac{1}{2}\binom{n}{k-1}$ $(k-1)$-sets $S \in \binom{V}{k-1}$ with $d(S) < \alpha n$. Otherwise,

$$n\left(\frac{1}{2} + \gamma'\right)\binom{n}{k-1} \leq \sum_{x \in V} d(x) = \sum_{S \in \binom{V}{k-1}} d(S) < \frac{(1+\alpha)n}{2}\binom{n}{k-1}$$

a contradiction. Therefore, there are at most

$$\frac{\binom{\alpha n}{2}\binom{n}{k-1}/2}{(2\gamma - \alpha)\binom{n}{k-1}} < \frac{\alpha^2}{\gamma}\binom{n}{2}$$

α-bad pairs in H. Hence, we have at most $4\alpha^2 n/\gamma$ vertices that are in at least $n/4$ α-bad pairs. By Proposition 4.4 and greedy algorithm, there exists a collection $\mathcal{T}_1$ of vertex disjoint copies of $K^k_k(m)$ covering these vertices. Clearly, $|V(\mathcal{T}_1)| \leq 4\alpha^2 kmn/\gamma$. Let $H_1 = H[V \backslash V(\mathcal{T}_1)]$. Note that for $x, y \in V(H_1)$ if (x, y) is α-good in H, then (x, y) is $(\alpha/2)$-good in H_1. Moreover, each vertex in H_1 is in at least $2n/3$ $(\alpha/2)$-good pairs. By Lemma 4.3,

$$|N^{H_1}_{K^k_k(m),1,\eta'}(x)| \geq 2n/3 > 2|H'|/3$$

for $x \in V(H_1)$. Therefore H_1 is $(K^k_k(m), 2, \eta)$-closed by Proposition 2.1 and Proposition 2.2.

Step 2 Since H_1 is $(K^k_k(m), 2, \eta)$-closed, there exists a vertex set U in H_1 satisfying the absorption lemma, Lemma 1.1. Set $H_2 = H[V(H_1)\backslash U]$.

Step 3 By taking α sufficiently small, $|V(\mathcal{T}_1)| + |U| \leq \gamma n/2$. Thus,

$$\delta_1(H_2) \geq \delta_1(H_2) - \gamma\binom{n}{k-1}/2 \geq \begin{cases} \left(\frac{5}{9} + \gamma''\right)\binom{n}{2} & \text{if } k = 3 \\ \left(\frac{37}{64} + \gamma''\right)\binom{n}{3} & \text{if } k = 4, \end{cases}$$

where $\gamma'' < (\eta/2)^{2km}kmn/32$. By Lemma 4.5, there exists a set $\mathcal{T}_2$ of vertex disjoint copies of $K_k^k(m)$ such that $|V(H_2)\backslash V(\mathcal{T}_2)| < \gamma''|H_2|$.

Step 4 Set $W = V(H_2)\backslash V(\mathcal{T}_2)$. Note that $H_1[U \cup W]$ contains a $K_k^k(m)$-factor $\mathcal{T}_3$ by the choice of U and W. Thus, $\mathcal{T}_1 \cup \mathcal{T}_2 \cup \mathcal{T}_3$ is a $K_k^k(m)$-factor in H. ☐

Remark 4.6. *With more work, we believe that one can determine the exact values of* $t_1^k(n, K_k^k(m))$ *for* $k = 3, 4$ *and* $m \geq 2$.

5 Some lower bounds on $t^k(n,t)$

In this section, we give some lower bounds on $t^k(n,t)$ by constructions. First, we show that $t^k(n, k+1) \geq 2n/3$ for $k \geq 4$ even.

Proposition 5.1. *For integers* $k \geq 4$ *even,* $t^k(n, k+1) \geq 2n/3$.

Proof. We define a k-graph H on n vertices as follows. Partition $V(H)$ into three sets V_1, V_2 and V_3 of roughly the same size such that $|V_1| \not\equiv |V_2| \pmod 2$. A k-set S is an edge of H if $|S \cap V_i|$ is odd for some $1 \leq i \leq 3$. Observe that $\delta_{k-1}(H) \geq 2n/3 - 1$. We now claim that H does not contain a K_{k+1}^k-factor. Let T be a K_{k+1}^k in H. Observe that $|T \cap V_i|$ is odd for $1 \leq i \leq 3$. Otherwise we can deduce that for some $1 \leq i \leq 3$ $|T \cap V_i|$ is odd and $|T \cap V_j|$ is even for $j \neq i$, but $T\backslash v$ is not an edge for $v \in T \cap V_i$. Recall that $|V_1| \not\equiv |V_2| \pmod 2$, so H does not contain a K_{k+1}^k-factor. ☐

Our next task is to prove Proposition 1.5, that is to show that $t^3(n,t) \geq (1 - C'logt/t^2)$ for some constant C', where we generalise a construction given in Proposition 1 of Pikhurko [20].

Proof of Proposition 1.5. Let λ be an integer and let $c, \epsilon > 0$ be constants such that $(\lambda t)^{2\epsilon}(\log \lambda t)^{1-\epsilon} \leq ct^2$. Let $l = R(B_\lambda, K_{t-1}^3) - 1$. By Theorem 1.7, $l = c_0\lambda t^2/\log(\lambda t)$ for some constant c_0 independent of t. Let H_0 be the 3-graph on vertex set $[l]$ with

$$\Delta_2(H_0) \leq \lambda \text{ and } \alpha(H_0) < t-1,$$

which exists by the choice of l. Partition $[n]$ into A_0, A_1, …, A_l of size a_0, a_1, …, a_l such that $a_0 + a_1 + \cdots + a_l = n$, a_0 is odd and a_0/λ, a_1, …, a_l are nearly equal, that is, $|a_0/\lambda - a_i|, |a_i - a_j| \leq 1$ for $1 \leq i, j \leq l$. Let H be a 3-graph on vertex set $[n]$ with edges satisfying one of following (mutually exclusive) properties:

(a) lie inside A_0,

(b) have two vertices in inside A_i and one in A_0 for $1 \leq i \leq l$,

(c) have one vertex in each of A_{i_1}, A_{i_2} and A_{i_3} with $i_1 i_2 i_3 \in E(H_0)$.

We claim that $\overline{H}$, the complement of H, does not contain a K_t^3-factor. Let T be a K_t^3 in $\overline{H}$, so T is an independent set in H. By (a), $|T \cap A_0| < 3$. If $|T \cap A_0| = 1$, then without loss of generality $|T \cap A_i| = 1$ for $1 \leq i \leq t$ by (b), which implies $[t]$ is an independent set in H_0 contradicting the fact that $\alpha(H_0) < t - 1$. Thus, every K_t^3 in $\overline{H}$ has even number of vertices in A_0 and so there is no K_t^3-factor in $\overline{H}$ as $|A_0| = a_0$ is odd. Also, it is easy to see that

$$\max_{I \in \binom{[l]}{\lambda}} \{a_0, \sum_{i \in I} a_i\} \approx \Delta_2(H) = \frac{\lambda n}{\lambda + l} + o(n) = \frac{n \log(\lambda t)}{\log(\lambda t) + c_0 t^2} + o(n) \leq \frac{C' \log t}{t^2} n$$

for some constant $C' > 0$. Hence, $\delta_2(\overline{H}) \geq (1 - C'logt/t^2)n$ and so the proposition follows. ☐

6 K^k_{k+1}-factors

Here, we prove Theorem 1.3, which bounds $t^k(n, k+1)$ from above for $k \geq 4$. We are going to show that if H is a k-graph with $\delta_{k-1}(H) \geq \left(1 - \frac{k + \mathbf{1}_{k,\text{odd}}}{2k^2} + \gamma\right) n$, then H contains a K^k_{k+1}-factor. The proof can be split into the two main steps:

(a) Showing H is $(K^k_{k+1}, 1, \eta)$-closed.

(b) Finding an almost K^k_{k+1}-factor.

We are going to tackle (b) first. The almost K^k_{k+1}-factor that we are seeking will covering all but at most t^2 vertices of H. By adopting the proof of Theorem 2.1 of Fischer [7], we prove the following lemma.

Lemma 6.1. *Let $3 \leq k < t$ be integers. Let H be a k-graph of order n with $\delta_{k-1}(H) \geq \left(1 - \frac{t-1}{t\binom{t-1}{k-1}}\right) n$ and $t|n$. There, there exists a set $\mathcal{T}$ of vertex disjoint copies of K^k_t in H covering all but at most $t^2(t-1)$ vertices.*

Proof. Consider a partition $\mathcal{P}$ of the vertex set $V(H)$ into t-sets V_1, …, $V_{n/t}$. Let G_i be the largest complete graph in V_i, where we allow independent sets of size $k-1$ to be a complete graph. Denote by $w : \{0, \dots, t\} \to \mathbb{R}$ the function defined by $w(0) = w(1) = 0$. and $w(j+1) - w(j) = 1 - \frac{(t-j+1)!}{(t+1)!}$. We define the weighting $w(\mathcal{P})$ of $\mathcal{P}$ to be $\sum_{1 \leq j \leq n/t} w(|G_j|)$. Assume that $\mathcal{P}$ is chosen such that $w(\mathcal{P})$ is maximal. We are going to show that for each $0 \leq i \leq t-1$ there are at most $t-1$ sets V_j in $\mathcal{P}$ with $|G_j| = i$. Suppose the contrary and assume that $|G_1| = \cdots = |G_t| = i < t$. Thus, we can find $v_j \in V_j \backslash V(G_j)$ for $1 \leq j \leq t$. For $1 \leq j \leq t$ and vertex $v \notin V_j$, we say the pair (v, j) is a connection if and only if $v \in L(G_j)$. Since $w(i+1) + w(i'-1) \geq 2w(i)$ for $i \geq i'$, we may assume that (v, j) is not a connection if $v \in V_1 \cup \cdots \cup V_{t+1}$ and $1 \leq j \leq t+1$. By the minimum $(k-1)$-degree condition, for $1 \leq j \leq t$ there are at least

$$\binom{i}{k-1}\delta_{k-1}(H) - \left(\binom{i}{k-1} - 1\right) n \geq \frac{(t-i)n}{t}$$

vertices v such that (v, j) is a connection. Thus, there are at least $(t-i)n$ connections (v, j) with $v \notin V_1 \cup \cdots \cup V_t$ and $1 \leq j \leq t$. There exists $t < j' \leq n/(t)$ such that there are more than $t(t-i)$ connections (v', j) for $v' \in V'_j$ and $1 \leq j \leq t$. Without loss of generality, we may assume by the König-Egerváry Theorem (see [2] Theorem 8.32) that (v'_j, j) is a connection for distinct $v'_j \in V'_j$ and $1 \leq j \leq t-i+1$. By moving v'_j to V_j for $1 \leq j \leq t-i+1$ and $\{v_1, \dots, v_t\}$ to V'_j, $w(\mathcal{P})$ increases by

$$\begin{aligned}
&(t-i+1)(w(i+1) - w(i)) - (w(|G'_j|) - w(\max\{k-1, |G'_j| - t + 1 + i\})) \\
\geq &(t-i+1)\left(1 - \frac{(t-i+1)!}{(t+1)!}\right) - \left(t + 1 - i - \frac{t-i+1}{t}\right) \\
= &\frac{(t-i+1)!}{(t+1)!} > 0,
\end{aligned}$$

a contradiction. ☐

Next, we are going to verify (a), that is, show that H is $(K^k_{k+1}, 1, \eta)$-closed.

Lemma 6.2. *Let $k \geq 3$ be an integer and $\gamma > 0$. Let H be a k-graph of order $n \geq n_0$ with*

$$\delta_{k-1}(H) \geq \left(1 - \frac{k + \mathbf{1}_{k,odd}}{2k^2} + \gamma\right) n,$$

where $\mathbf{1}_{k,odd} = 1$ if k is odd and $\mathbf{1}_{k,odd} = 0$ otherwise. Then, H is $(K^k_{k+1}, 1, \eta)$-closed for some $\eta > 0$.

Proof. Let x and y be distinct vertices of H. Let G be the $(k-1)$-graph on vertex set $V(H)\backslash\{x, y\}$ and edge set $N(x) \cap N(y)$, so

$$\delta_{k-2}(G) \geq \left(1 - \frac{k + \mathbf{1}_{k,\text{odd}}}{k^2} + 2\gamma\right) n > \left(1 - \frac{1}{k-1} + \gamma\right) n. \tag{5}$$

Hence, we can find a k-set $T = \{v_1, \dots, v_k\}$ in $V(G)$ that forms a K^{k-1}_k in G. In fact, there are at least $C\gamma n^k$ choices for some constant $C > 0$ independent of γ and n. For $u \in V(G)\backslash T$, we claim that if u is in more than $\lfloor k(k-2)/2 \rfloor$ neighbourhoods $N^G(S)$ for $S \in \binom{T}{k-2}$, then $u \cup T\backslash v_i$ forms a K^{k-1}_k for some $1 \leq i \leq k$. Indeed, this is true by considering the 2-graph G'_u on T such that $v_{i_1}v_{i_2} \in E(G'_u)$ if and only if $u \in N^G(T\backslash\{v_{i_1}, v_{i_2}\})$. Note that v_i has degree $k-1$ in G'_u if and only if $u \cup T\backslash v_i$ forms a K^{k-1}_k in G. Therefore, if u is in more than $\lfloor k(k-2)/2 \rfloor$ neighbourhoods $N^G(S)$ for $S \in \binom{T}{k-2}$, then $e(G'_u) > \lfloor k(k-2)/2 \rfloor$ and so G'_u contains a vertex v_i of degree at least $k-1$ in G'_u, i.e. $u \cup T\backslash v_i$ forms a K^{k-1}_k for some $1 \leq i \leq k$ as claimed.

Thus, there exists a vertex $v_i \in T$ such that $u \cup T\backslash v_i$ forms a K^{k-1}_k in G for at least

$$\frac{1}{k}\left(\binom{k}{k-2}\delta_{k-2}(G) - \frac{k(k-2) - \mathbf{1}_{k,\text{odd}}}{2} n\right) \geq \left(\frac{k + \mathbf{1}_{k,\text{odd}}}{2k^2} + (k-1)\gamma\right) n$$

vertices $u \in V(G)\backslash T$ by (5). Fix such i and let U be the set of such corresponding u. Note that $|N^H(T\backslash v_i) \cap U| \geq k\gamma n$. Moreover, for each $z \in N^H(T\backslash v_i) \cap U$, $\{x, z\} \cup T\backslash v_i$ and $\{y, z\} \cup T\backslash v_i$ form K^k_{k+1} in H. Thus, x is $(K^k_{k+1}, 1, \eta)$-closed to y for $\eta = C\gamma^2 n^k/k > 0$. Since x and y are arbitrary, H is $(K^k_{k+1}, 1, \eta)$-closed as required. □

We are now ready to prove Theorem 1.3. Note that the second assertion of the theorem is implied by Proposition 5.1, so it is enough to prove the first assertion.

Proof of Theorem 1.3. Let $k \geq 4$ be an integer and $\gamma > 0$. Let H be a k-graph of order n with

$$\delta_{k-1}(H) \geq \left(1 - \frac{k + \mathbf{1}_{k,\text{odd}}}{2k^2} + \gamma\right) n$$

and $k + 1|n$. Throughout this proof, we may assume that γ and n are sufficiently small and large respectively. Note that H is $(K^k_{k+1}, 1, \eta)$-closed by Lemma 6.2. Let U be the vertex set given by Lemma 1.1 and so $|U| \leq (\eta/2)^{k+1} n/4$. Let $H' = H[V\backslash U]$. Note that

$$\delta_{k-1}(H') \geq \left(1 - \frac{k + \mathbf{1}_{k,\text{odd}}}{2k^2} + \frac{\gamma}{2}\right) n' \geq \left(1 - \frac{1}{k+1}\right) n'$$

where $n' = n - |U|$. There exists a family $\mathcal{T}$ of vertex disjoint copies of K^k_{k+1} in H' covering all but at most $(k+1)^2$ vertices by Lemma 6.1 taking

$t = k+1$. Let $W = V(H')\backslash V(\mathcal{T})$, so $|W| \le (k+1)^2 < (\eta/2)^{2k+2}n/32$. By Lemma 1.1, there exists a K_{k+1}^k-factor $\mathcal{T}'$ in $H[U \cup W]$. Thus, $\mathcal{T} \cup \mathcal{T}'$ is a K_{k+1}^k-factor in H. $\square$

7 K_t^k-factors

For integers k, t, l, define the function $\beta(k,t,l)$ as

$$\beta(k,t,l) = \frac{2}{\binom{t}{k-1} + \binom{t-l-1}{k-1} + \binom{l+1}{k-1}}.$$

Set $l_0(3,t) = 1$ and for $k \ge 4$, let $l_0(k,t)$ be the largest integer l such that

$$2(l+1) \le t, \beta(k,t,l) \le \frac{1}{\binom{t-1}{k-1} + \binom{l}{k-1}} \text{ and } \beta(k,t,l) \le \frac{1}{2\binom{2l+1}{k-1}}$$

if $\binom{2l+1}{k-1} > 0$. Note that $l_0(k,t) \ge \lfloor \min\{k, t-2\}/2 \rfloor \ge 1$ for $k \ge 4$. Set

$$\beta(k,t) = \beta(k,t,l_0(k,t)) \text{ and } d(k,t) = 1 - \binom{t-1}{k-1}\beta(k,t).$$

The aim of this section is to show that if $\delta_{k-1}(H) \ge (1 - \beta(k,t) + \gamma)n$ with $\gamma > 0$ and n is sufficiently large, then H is (K_t^k, i, η)-closed for some $i, \eta > 0$ independent of n.

Lemma 7.1. *Let $3 \le k < t$ be integers and $0 < \gamma < \beta(k,t)$. Let H be a k-graph of order $n \ge n_0$ with*

$$\delta_{k-1}(H) \ge (1 - \beta(k,t) + \gamma)\, n.$$

Then, H is (K_t^k, i, η)-closed for some i and $\eta > 0$.

Observe that this lemma implies Theorem 1.4 by modifying the proof of Theorem 1.3. Thus, it is enough to prove Lemma 7.1. We advise the reader to consider the special case when $k = 3$ and $t = 4$ which illustrate all the ideas in the proof. We now give an outline of its proof. Let H be a k-graph satisfying the hypothesis of the above lemma. Lemma 7.3 shows that we can partition the vertex set $V(H)$ into $W_1, \dots, W_p$ such that W_j is (K_t^k, i', η')-closed in H for $1 \le j \le p$ with $i', \eta', p > 0$ independent of n. Then, we 'glue' $W_1, \dots, W_p$ together using Lemma 7.5.

First, we show that $|\widetilde{N}_{K_t^k,1,\eta}(v)|$ is large for every $v \in V(H)$.

Proposition 7.2. *Let $3 \le k < t$ be integers and $0 < \gamma < \beta(k,t)$. Let H be a k-graph of order n with $\delta_{k-1}(H) \ge (1 - \beta(k,t) + \gamma)\, n$. Then, $|\widetilde{N}_{K_t^k,1,\eta}(v)| \ge (d(k,t) + 2\gamma)n$ for $v \in V(H)$, where*

$$\eta = \frac{\gamma}{2(t-1)!} \prod_{k-1 \le s \le t-1} \left(1 - \binom{s}{k-1}\beta\right).$$

Proof. Fix k and t. Write δ, β and d for $\delta_{k-1}(H)$, $\beta(k,t)$ and $d(k,t)$ respectively. Recall that $L(S) = \bigcap_{U \in \binom{S}{k-1}} N(U)$ for s-sets S and $s \ge k-1$. Thus,

$$|L(S)| \ge n - \binom{s}{k-1}(n - \delta) \ge \left(1 - \binom{s}{k-1}\beta + \binom{s}{k-1}\gamma\right) n > 0$$

for s-sets S and $k-1 \leq s \leq t-1$. Hence, each vertex v is contained in at least

$$\frac{n^{k-1}}{2(t-1)!} \prod_{k-1 \leq s \leq t-1} \left(1 - \binom{s}{k-1}\beta\right)$$

copies of K_t^k. Fix $x \in V(H)$. Let G_x be a bipartite graph with the following properties. The vertex classes of G_x are $V(H)$ and W_x, where W_x is the set of $(t-1)$-sets T in $V(H)$ such that $T \cup x$ forms a K_t^k. For $y \in V(H)$ and $T \in W_x$, (y, T) is an edge in G_x if and only if $T \cup y$ forms a K_t^k. Note that for $T \in W_x$

$$d^G(T) = |L(T)| \geq (d + 3\gamma)\, n.$$

We claim that there are greater than $(d+2\gamma)n$ vertices $y \in V(H)$ with $d^G(y) \geq \gamma |W_x|$. Indeed, it is true or else

$$(d+3\gamma)\, n|W_x| \leq e(G) \leq \gamma |W_x|\, (1-d-2\gamma)\, n + |W_x|(d+2\gamma)n,$$

a contradiction. The proposition follows as y is $(K_t^k, 1, d^G(y)/n^{t-1})$-close to x. □

Now, we show that we can partition the vertex set $V(H)$ into $W_1, \dots, W_p$ such that each W_j is (K_t^k, i, η)-closed in H.

Lemma 7.3. *Let $3 \leq k < t$ be integers and $0 < \gamma < \beta(k,t)$. Let H be a k-graph of order $n \geq n_0$ with $\delta_{k-1}(H) \geq (1 - \beta(k,t) + \gamma)\, n$. Then, there exists a vertex partition of $V(H)$ into $W_1, \dots, W_p$ with*

$$|W_j| \geq (d(k,t) + \gamma)n$$

and each W_j is $(K_t^k, 2^{i + \lceil 1/(2^{i-2} d(k,t)\gamma) \rceil + 1}, \eta)$-closed in H for some $p \leq \lceil (d(k,t)+\gamma)^{-1} \rceil$, $i \leq 2 - \log_2 d(k,t)$ and $\eta > 0$.

Proof. Fixed k and t. Write δ, β and d for $\delta_{k-1}(H)$, $\beta(k,t)$ and $d(k,t)$ respectively. Let $\eta_0 = \eta$ that defined in Proposition 7.2. We further assume that $\gamma < d 2^{\lfloor -\log_2 d \rfloor}$. For $1 \leq i \leq \lfloor 2 - \log_2 d \rfloor$, let

$$\eta_i = \frac{\eta_{i-1}^2 \gamma^2}{(2^{i+1} t)!} \geq \frac{\eta_0^{2^i} \gamma^{2^i}}{((2^{i+1}t)!)^2} \text{ and } n_0 = \left\lceil \eta^{-1}_{\lfloor 2 - \log_2 d(k,t) \rfloor} \right\rceil.$$

We say i-close to mean $(K_t^k, 2^i, \eta_i)$-close and write $\widetilde{N}_i(v)$ for $\widetilde{N}_{K_t^k, 2^i, \eta_i}(v)$, so $\widetilde{N}_0(v) \geq (d+2\gamma)n$ by Proposition 7.2. Moreover, $\widetilde{N}_{i+1}(v) \subset \widetilde{N}_i(v)$. Set $V^0 = V$. Iteratively for $i \geq 0$ and $V^i \neq \emptyset$, we partition V^i into $W_1^i, \dots, W_{r_i}^i, V^{i+1}$ such that:

(*i*) $|W_j^i| \geq (2^i d + \gamma)n$ for $1 \leq j \leq r_i$,

(*ii*) W_j^i is $(i + \lceil 1/(2^{i-2} d\gamma) \rceil + 1)$-closed in H for $1 \leq j \leq r_i$,

(*iii*) $|\widetilde{N}_i(v) \cap V^{i+1}| \geq (2^{i+1} d + 2\gamma)n$ for $v \in V^{i+1}$.

First, assume that the iteration is valid. Since $\sum |W_j^i| \leq n$, $r_i < 1/(2^i d)$ by properties (*i*). In addition, by (*iii*) the iteration must terminate after i steps, i.e. $V^{i+1} = \emptyset$, for $i < -\log_2 d - 1$. Therefore, it is enough to show the algorithm is valid. Suppose that we are given V^i for $i \geq 0$. We are going to partition V^i into $W_1^i, \dots, W_{r_i}^i, V^{i+1}$ satisfying properties (*i*)−(*iii*) and the following additional property:

(iv) $|\widetilde{N}_i(v) \cap V^i_j| \geq (2^i d + (2 - 2^{i-1}dj)\gamma)n$ for $v \in V^i_j$, where $V^i_j = V^i \setminus \bigcup_{1 \leq j' \leq j} W^i_{j'}$.

Further suppose that we have already constructed $W^i_1, \dots, W^i_j$. Recall that $r_i \leq 1/(2^i d)$, so by property (iv)

$$|\widetilde{N}_i(v) \cap V^i_j| \geq (2^i d + 3\gamma/2)n$$

for $v \in V^i_j$. If $|\widetilde{N}_{i+1}(v) \cap V^i_j| \geq (2^{i+1}d + 2\gamma)n$ for all $v \in V^i_j$, then set $V^{i+1} = V^i_j$ and $r_i = j$. Thus, we may assume that there exists $v \in V^i_j$ such that $|\widetilde{N}_{i+1}(v) \cap V^i_j| < (2^{i+1}d + 2\gamma)n$.

Let U be the set of vertices $u \in V^i_j \cap \widetilde{N}_i(v)$ such that

$$|\widetilde{N}_i(u) \cap \widetilde{N}_{i+1}(v) \cap V^i_j| \geq (2^i d + 4\gamma/3)n.$$

Claim 7.4. *The size of U is at least $(2^i d + \gamma)n$ and U is 2-closed in H.*

Proof of claim. Note that if $|\widetilde{N}_i(w) \cap \widetilde{N}_i(v)| \geq \gamma^2 n/18$, then $w \in \widetilde{N}_{i+1}(v)$ by Proposition 2.1. Thus, for each $w \in V \setminus \widetilde{N}_{i+1}(v)$, $|\widetilde{N}_i(v) \cap \widetilde{N}_i(w)| < \gamma^2 n/18$. Therefore, by summing over all $w \in V \setminus \widetilde{N}_{i+1}(v)$

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{u \in \widetilde{N}_i(v)} |\widetilde{N}_i(u) \setminus \widetilde{N}_{i+1}(v)| = &\sum_{w \in V \setminus \widetilde{N}_{i+1}(v)} |\widetilde{N}_i(v) \cap \widetilde{N}_i(w)| \\ \leq &\gamma^2 n |V \setminus \widetilde{N}_{i+1}(v)|/18 \leq \gamma^2 n^2/18. \qquad (6)\end{aligned}$$

Recall that $|\widetilde{N}_i(u') \cap V^i_j| \geq (2^{i+1}d + 3\gamma/2)n$ for $u' \in V^i_j$. For $u' \in V^i_j \cap \widetilde{N}_i(v) \setminus U$

$$\begin{aligned}|\widetilde{N}_i(u') \setminus \widetilde{N}_{i+1}(v)| \geq &|(\widetilde{N}_i(u') \setminus \widetilde{N}_{i+1}(v)) \cap V^i_j| \\ = &|\widetilde{N}_i(u') \cap V^i_j| - |\widetilde{N}_i(u') \cap \widetilde{N}_{i+1}(v)) \cap V^i_j| > \frac{\gamma n}{6}.\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, by summing over $u' \in V^i_j \cap \widetilde{N}_i(v) \setminus U$ and (6)

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\gamma n}{3} |V^i_j \cap \widetilde{N}_1(v) \setminus U| \leq &\sum_{u' \in V^i_j \cap \widetilde{N}_i(v) \setminus U} |\widetilde{N}_i(u') \setminus \widetilde{N}_{i+1}(v)| \\ \leq &\sum_{u \in \widetilde{N}_i(v)} |\widetilde{N}_i(u) \setminus \widetilde{N}_{i+1}(v)| \leq \gamma^2 n^2/18.\end{aligned}$$

Again recall that $|V^i_j \cap \widetilde{N}_i(v)| \geq (2^i d + 3\gamma/2)n$, so $|U| \geq (2^i d + \gamma)n$ as desired. Furthermore, for $u, u' \in U$, $\widetilde{N}_i(u) \cap \widetilde{N}_i(u')$ is of size at least

$$|\widetilde{N}_i(u) \cap \widetilde{N}_{i+1}(v) \cap V^i_j| + |\widetilde{N}_i(u') \cap \widetilde{N}_{i+1}(v) \cap V^i_j| - |\widetilde{N}_{i+1}(v) \cap V^i_j| \geq 2\gamma n/3$$

and so u and u' are $(i+1)$-close to each other by Proposition 2.1. $\square$

Set $U_0 = U$. For integers $i' > 0$, we define Define $U_{i'}$ to be the set of vertices $u' \in V^i_j \setminus \bigcup_{p=0}^{i'-1} U_p$ such that $|\widetilde{N}_i(u') \cap \bigcup_{p=0}^{i'-1} U_p| \geq 2^{i-2} d\gamma n$. By induction on i' together with Proposition 2.1 and Proposition 2.2, we deduce that $\bigcup_{p=0}^{i'} U_p$ is $(i + i' + 1)$-closed in H. Let $i_0 \geq 1$ be the minimal integer such that $|U_{i'}| < 2^{i-2} d\gamma n$. Clearly $i_0 \leq \lceil 1/(2^{i-2} d\gamma) \rceil$ as U_0, U_1,... are pairwise disjoint.

We set $W^i_{j+1} = \bigcup_{p=0}^{i_0} U_p$. Note that $|W^i_{j+1}| \geq |U_0| \geq (2^i d + \gamma)n$ and W^i_{j+1} is $(i + i_0 + 1)$-closed in H. Hence, W^i_{j+1} satisfies properties *(i)* and (ii). If $W^i_{j+1} = V^i_j$, then we are done. Thus, we may assume that $W^i_{j+1} \neq V^i_j$. For $w \in V^i_{j+1} = V^i_j \backslash W^i_{j+1}$, we have by (iv)

$$\begin{aligned}|\widetilde{N}_i(w) \cap V^i_{j+1}| \geq & |\widetilde{N}_i(w) \cap V^i_j| - |\widetilde{N}_i(w) \cap \bigcup_{p=0}^{i_0-1} U_p| - |U_{i_0}| \\ > & (2^i d + (2 - 2^{i-1}dj)\gamma)n - 2^{i-2}d\gamma n - 2^{i-2}d\gamma n \\ = & (2^i d + (2 - 2^{i-1}d(j+1))\gamma)n\end{aligned}$$

and so V^i_{j+1} satisfies (iv). Thus, the algorithm is valid and the proof of the lemma is completed. □

The next lemma helps us to join two of W_i's together.

Lemma 7.5. *Let $3 \leq k < t$ be integers and $0 < \gamma < \beta(k,t)$. Then, there exist an integer $n_0 = n_0(k,t,\gamma)$ and $\epsilon_0 = \epsilon_0(k,t,\gamma) > 0$ such that the following holds. Let H be a k-graph of order $n \geq n_0$ with $\delta_{k-1}(H) \geq (1 - \beta(k,t) + \gamma)n$. Let X and Y be a partition of $V(H)$ with $|X|, |Y| \geq (d(k,t) + \gamma)n$, where $d(k,t)$ is defined in Lemma 7.3. Then, there exist at least $\epsilon_0 n^{t+1}$ triples (x, y, T) with $x \in X$, $y \in Y$, $T \in \binom{V}{t-1}$ such that both $x \cup T$ and $y \cup T$ form K^k_t in H.*

Proof. Fix k, t and γ. Write δ, β, d and l_0 for $\delta_{k-1}(H)$, $\beta(k,t)$, $d(k,t)$ and $l_0(k,t)$ respectively. Set $\epsilon = \gamma\binom{t}{k-1}/3t$ and

$$\epsilon_0 = \min\left\{C\epsilon^2, \frac{Cd\epsilon}{2\binom{t+1}{t-1}}\right\},$$

where C to be a small constant specified later. Without loss of generality, we may assume that $|Y| \geq |X| \geq (d + \gamma)\, n$. We say that a triple (x, y, T) is *good* if $x \in X$, $y \in Y$, $T \in \binom{V}{t-1}$ such that both $x \cup T$ and $y \cup T$ form K^k_t in H. Thus, we are going to show that there are at least $\epsilon_0 n^{t+1}$ good triples. We now consider various cases.

Case 1 : There exist Cn^{t-1} copies of K^k_{t-1} T such that

$$|L(T) \cap X| \geq \epsilon n \text{ and } |L(T) \cap Y| \geq \epsilon n.$$

For each such T, (x,y,T) is good with $x \in L(T) \cap X$, $y \in L(T) \cap Y$. Therefore, there are at least $\epsilon^2 C n^{t+1} \geq \epsilon_0 n^{t+1}$ good triples.

Case 2: For some $l_0 \leq s \leq t/2$, there exist $2Cn^{t-1}$ copies of K^k_{t-1} T such that $|T \cap Y| = s$ and $|L(T) \cap Y| > \epsilon n$. By Case 1, we may assume that there exist Cn^{t-1} of such K^k_{t-1} T with $|L(T) \cap X| \leq \epsilon n$. Fixed one such $T = \{v_1, \ldots, v_{t-1}\}$ with

$$T_X = \{v_1, \ldots, v_r\} \subset X \text{ and } T_Y = \{v_{r+1}, \ldots, v_{r+s}\} \subset Y,$$

where $r + s + 1 = t$. Fix $z \in L(T) \cap Y$. Recall that $L(T) \geq (d + 3\gamma)n$. Let $L = L(T) \cap Y \backslash z$, so

$$|L| = |L(T)| - |L(T) \cap X| - 1 \geq (d + \gamma)n - \epsilon n \geq (d + \epsilon)n.$$

Claim 7.6. *One of the followings holds:*

(a) $|Y \cap L(T \cup z \backslash v_i)| \geq \epsilon n$ *for some* $1 \leq i \leq r$, *or*

(b) $|X \cap L(T \cup z \backslash v_{r+j})| \geq \epsilon n$ *for some* $1 \leq j \leq s$.

Proof of claim. Let M be the set of vertices $v \in V\backslash(T \cup z)$ such that v is in the neighbourhoods of exactly $\binom{t-1}{k-1} - 1$ (k-1)-sets $S \in \binom{T}{k-1}$. For $1 \leq i \leq \binom{t-1}{k-1}$, let n_i be the number of vertices v such that v is in the neighbourhoods of exactly i (k-1)-sets of $S \in \binom{T}{k-1}$. Note that $\sum n_i = n$, $\sum i n_i \geq \binom{t-1}{2}\delta$, $|M| = n_{\binom{t-1}{k-1}-1}$ and $n_{\binom{t-1}{k-1}} \leq |L| + \epsilon n + 3$. Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} 2|L| + |M| + 3\epsilon \geq& \sum i n_i - \left(\binom{t-1}{k-1} - 2\right) \sum n_i \\ \geq& 2n - \binom{t-1}{k-1}(n - \delta). \end{aligned} \tag{7}$$

Denote by R and S be the sets $M \cap X \cap L(T_X)$ and $M \cap Y \cap L(T_Y)$ respectively. Thus, we have

$$|R| + |S| \geq |M| - \left(\binom{r}{k-1} + \binom{s}{k-1}\right)(n - \delta). \tag{8}$$

Set $S_1 = S \cap L(T\backslash v_1)$ and for $2 \leq i \leq r$, set $S_i = S \cap L(T\backslash v_i)\backslash \bigcup_{j<i} S_j$. Observe that $S_1, \ldots, S_r$ form a partition of S. Note that

$$|S_1 \cap \bigcap_{U \in \binom{T\backslash v_1}{k-2}} N(z \cup U)| \geq \sum_{U \in \binom{T\backslash v_1}{k-2}} |S_1 \cap N(z \cup U)| - \left(\binom{t-2}{k-2} - 1\right)|S_1|.$$

The left hand side is at most $|Y \cap L(T \cup z \backslash v_1)|$, so we may assume that it is less than ϵn or else (a) holds for $i = 1$. Similarly, we may assume that for $1 \leq i \leq r$

$$\sum_{U \in \binom{T\backslash v_i}{k-2}} |S_i \cap N(z \cup U)| - \left(\binom{t-2}{k-2} - 1\right)|S_i| \leq \epsilon n. \tag{9}$$

Next, we apply similar arguments on R. Set $R_1 = R \cap L(T\backslash v_{r+1})$ and for $2 \leq j \leq s$, $R_j = R \cap L(T\backslash v_{r+j})\backslash \bigcup_{i<j} R_i$. Again, $R_1, \ldots, R_s$ form a partition of R. Similarly, we may assume that for $1 \leq j \leq s$,

$$\sum_{U \in \binom{T\backslash v_{r+j}}{k-2}} |R_j \cap N(z \cup U)| - \left(\binom{t-2}{k-2} - 1\right)|R_j| \leq \epsilon n. \tag{10}$$

or else (b) holds. Let L' to be the set $L \cap L(T_Y \cup z)$, so

$$\begin{aligned} |L'| =& |L \cap \bigcap_{U \in \binom{T_Y}{k-2}} N(z \cup U)| \geq |L| - \sum_{U \in \binom{T_Y}{k-2}} |L\backslash N(z \cup U)| \\ \geq& |L| - \binom{s}{k-2}(n - \delta) \\ &+ \sum_{U \in \binom{T_Y}{k-2}} \left(\sum_{1 \leq i \leq r} |S_i\backslash N(z \cup U)| + \sum_{1 \leq j \leq s} |R_j\backslash N(z \cup U)|\right). \end{aligned} \tag{11}$$

In addition, for $U \in \binom{T}{k-2}\backslash\binom{T_Y}{k-2}$, we have

$$|L' \cap N(z \cup U)| \geq |L'| + \delta - n + \sum_{1 \leq i \leq r} |S_i\backslash N(z \cup U)| + \sum_{1 \leq j \leq s} |R_j\backslash N(z \cup U)|. \tag{12}$$

We claim that $2|L \cap \bigcup_{1 \le i \le r} L(T \cup z \backslash v_i)|$ is at least

$$\sum_{U \in \binom{T}{k-2} \backslash \binom{T_Y}{k-2}} |L' \cap N(z \cup U)| - \left(\binom{t-1}{k-2} - \binom{s}{k-2} - 2 \right) |L'|. \quad (13)$$

Note that this is twice the number of vertices $y \in L'$ such that y is in the neighbourhood of $N(z \cup U)$ for all but at most one $U \in \binom{T}{k-2} \backslash \binom{T_Y}{k-2}$. Let y be such a vertex. In order to show that (13) holds, it is enough to show that $y \in |L \cap L(T \cup z \backslash v_i)|$ for some $1 \le i \le r$. Let $\mathcal{U}$ be the set of $U \in \binom{T}{k-2}$ such that $y \in N(z \cup U)$. Note that $\binom{T_Y}{k-2} \subset \mathcal{U}$ as $y \in L'$ and so $|\mathcal{U}| \ge \binom{t-1}{k-2} - 1$. Define $\mathcal{A}$ to be the family of sets in T_X such that if $A \in \mathcal{A}$ then $A \cup B \in \mathcal{U}$ for every $B \in \binom{T_Y}{k-2-|A|}$. Since $\mathcal{U}$ misses at most one set in $\binom{T}{k-2}$, $\mathcal{A}$ contains all but at most one subsets A_0 in T_X, say $v_1 \in A_0$ if exists. Therefore, $\mathcal{A}$ contains all subsets of $\{v_2, \dots, v_r\}$. Moreover, the set $\{v_2, \dots, v_{t-1}, z, y\}$ forms a K_t^k and so (13) holds.

Finally, by (13), (12), (11), (9), (10), (8) and (7), we can deduce that

$$\begin{aligned}
2|L \cap \bigcup_{1 \le i \le r} L(T \cup z \backslash v_i)| \ge{}& 2|L| - \left(\binom{t-1}{k-2} + \binom{s}{k-2} \right)(n-\delta) \\
&+ \sum_{U \in \binom{T}{k-2}} \left(\sum_{1 \le i \le r} |S_i \backslash N(z \cup U)| + \sum_{1 \le j \le s} |R_j \backslash N(z \cup U)| \right) \\
\ge{}& 2|L| + |S| + |R| - \left(\binom{t-1}{k-2} + \binom{s}{k-2} \right)(n-\delta) - (t-1)\epsilon n \\
\ge{}& (2-(t+2)\epsilon)n - \left(\binom{t}{k-1} + \binom{s+1}{k-1} + \binom{r}{k-1} \right)(n-\delta) \\
\ge{}& (2-(t+2)\epsilon)n - \left(\binom{t}{k-1} + \binom{l_0+1}{k-1} + \binom{t-l_0-1}{k-1} \right)(n-\delta) \\
\ge{}& 2(t-1)\epsilon n,
\end{aligned}$$

and so (a) holds for some $1 \le i \le r$. Hence, the proof of Claim 7.6 is completed. □

Suppose that Claim 7.6 (a) holds for $i = 1$ say, then $|Y \cap L(T \cup z \backslash v_1)| \ge \epsilon n$. The triple (v_1, y, T') is a good triple for $y \in Y \cap L(T \cup z \backslash v_1)$. Similarly, if Claim 7.6 (b) holds for $j = 1$ say, then (x, v_{r+1}, T') is a good triple for $x \in X \cap L(T \cup z \backslash v_{r+1})$. Thus, for each such T and z, we can find at least ϵn good triples (x, y, T') (counting with multiplicities) such that $T \cup z \subset T' \cup \{x, y\}$. Therefore, there are at least

$$\frac{Cn^{t-1} \times dn \times \epsilon n}{2\binom{t+1}{t-1}} \ge \epsilon_0 n^{t+1}$$

good triples in H'.

Case 3: For some $l_0 \le r < t/2$, there exist $2Cn^{t-1}$ copies of K_{t-1}^k T such that $|T \cap X| = r$ and $|L(T) \cap X| > \epsilon n$. Notice that this is the symmetrical case of Case 2. Since we do not require the fact $|X| < |Y|$ in the arguments in Case 2, we can deduced that there are at least $\epsilon_0 n^{t+1}$ good triples in H.

Case 4: We have $k = 3$ and there exist $2Cn^{t-1}$ copies of K^3_{t-1} T such that $T \subset X$ and $|L(T) \cap Y| > \epsilon n$. By Case 1, we may assume that there exist Cn^{t-1} of such K^3_{t-1} copies of T with $|L(T) \cap X| \leq \epsilon n$. Fixed one such $T = \{v_1, \dots, v_{t-1}\}$ and $z \in L(T) \cap Y$. Recall that $|L(T)| \geq (d+3\gamma)n$. Let $L = L(T) \cap Y \backslash z$, so

$$|L| \geq |L(T)| - \epsilon n - 1 \geq (d + \epsilon)n.$$

Similarly to Case 2, the following claim would imply that there are at least $\epsilon_0 n^{t+1}$ good triples in H.

Claim 7.7. *For some* $1 \leq i \leq t-1$, $|Y \cap L(T \cup z \backslash v_i)| \geq \epsilon n$.

Proof of claim. Let M be the set of vertices $v \in V \backslash (T \cup \{z\})$ such that v is in the neighbourhoods of exactly $\left(\binom{t-1}{2} - 1\right)$ 2-sets $S \in \binom{T}{k-1}$. For $1 \leq i \leq \binom{t-1}{2}$, let n_i be the number of vertices v such that v is in the neighbourhoods of exactly i 2-sets of $S \in \binom{T}{2}$. Note that $\sum n_i = n$, $\sum i n_i \geq \binom{t-1}{2}\delta$, $|M| = n_{\binom{t-1}{2}-1}$ and $n_{\binom{t-1}{2}} \leq |L| + \epsilon n + 3$. Therefore,

$$2|L| + |M| + 3\epsilon \geq 2n - \binom{t-1}{2}(n - \delta). \tag{14}$$

Let $S = M \cap Y$, so $|S| \geq |M| - n/2$. Set $S_1 = S \cap L(T \backslash v_1)$ and for $2 \leq i \leq t-1$, $S_i = S \cap L(T \backslash v_i) \backslash \bigcup_{j<i} S_j$. Observe that $S_1, \dots, S_{t-1}$ form a partition of S. Note that

$$|S_1 \cap \bigcap_{u \in T \backslash v_1} N(zu)| \geq \sum_{u \in T \backslash v_1} |S_1 \cap N(zu)| - (t-3)\,|S_1|.$$

Observe that $S_1 \cap \bigcap_{u \in T \backslash v_1} N(zu) \subset L(T \cup z \backslash v_1)$. Therefore, we may assume that the left hand side of the above inequality is less than ϵn or else the claim holds for $i = 1$. Similarly, we may assume that for $1 \leq j \leq t-1$

$$\sum_{u \in T \backslash v_j} |S_j \cap N(zu)| - (t-3)\,|S_j| \leq \epsilon n. \tag{15}$$

It is easy to see that for $u \in T$,

$$|L \cap N(zu)| \geq |L| + \delta - n + \sum_{1 \leq i \leq t-1} |S_i \backslash N(zu)| \tag{16}$$

Note that

$$2|L \cap \bigcup_{1 \leq j \leq t-1} L(T \cup z \backslash v_i)| \geq \sum_{u \in T \backslash v_1} |L \cap N(zu)| - (t-3)\,|L|.$$

Thus, by (16), (15) and (14), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\geq 2|L| - (t-1)(n-\delta) + \sum_{1 \leq i \leq t-1} \sum_{u \in T \backslash v_1} |S_i \backslash N(zu)| \\
&\geq 2|L| - (t-1)(n-\delta) + |S| - (t-1)\epsilon n \\
&\geq 2|L| + |M| - (t-1)(n-\delta) - n/2 - (t-1)\epsilon n \\
&\geq (3/2 - (t+2)\epsilon)n - \binom{t}{2}(n-\delta) \geq 2(t-1)\epsilon n.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence, $|Y \cap L(T \cup z \backslash v_i)| \geq |L \cap L(T \cup z \backslash v_i)| \geq \epsilon n$ for some $1 \leq i \leq t-1$. The proof of Claim 7.7 is completed. □

Case 5: All of Cases 1-4 do not hold. We first consider the case when $k = 3$. Since $\delta > n/2$, there exist at least $d^2(\delta - 1/2)n^3/2$ edges with 2 vertices in X and one in Y. Furthermore, each edge can be extended to a K^3_{t-1}, so there are at least

$$\frac{n^{t-1}}{(t-1)!} d^2(\delta - 1/2) \prod_{3 \le s \le k-2} \left(1 - \binom{s}{2}\beta\right) = C'n^{t-1}$$

copies of K^3_{t-1} with at least two vertices in X and one in Y. Hence, we may assume that there exist at least $C'n^{t-1}/t$ copies T of K^k_{t-1} such that $|T \cap X| = r$ and $|T \cap Y| = s$ for some $r \ge 2$ and $s \ge 1$. Assume that $1 \le s \le t/2$. Since Case 1 and Case 2 do not hold, for all but at most $2Cn^{t-1}$ such T we have $|L(T) \cap Y| < \epsilon n$ and so $|L(T) \cap X| \ge \left(1 - \binom{t-1}{2}\beta + \epsilon\right) n$. Therefore, there are at least

$$(d + 2\epsilon)\,(C' - 2C)n^t/t^2$$

copies U of K^3_t with $|U \cap X| = r + 1$ and $|U \cap Y| = s$. Suppose that C is sufficiently small such that $d(C' - 2C)/t^2 \ge 2C$. By an averaging argument, there exist at least

$$d(C' - 2C)n^{t-1}/t^2 \ge 2Cn^{t-1}$$

copies T' of K^k_{t-1} such that $|T' \cap Y| = s - 1$ and $|L(T') \cap Y| \ge \epsilon n$ contradicting either Case 2 or Case 4. A similar argument holds for $2 \le r < t/2$. Hence, Lemma 7.5 holds for $k = 3$.

For $k \ge 4$, recall the definition of l_0, $|X| \ge (d+\gamma)n$ and $|Y| \ge n/2 - 1$. Hence, by greedy algorithm we can first find a K^k_{l+1} in X, extend it to a $K^k_{2(l+1)}$ with $l+1$ vertices in each of X and Y, and further extend it to a K^k_{t-1}. Thus, there are at least $C''n^{t-1}$ copies of K^k_{t-1} with at least $l+1$ vertices in each of X and Y. By a similar argument as the case $k = 3$ we obtain a contradiction. The proof of Lemma 7.5 is completed. □

We are now ready to prove Lemma 7.1.

Proof of Lemma 7.1. Fixed k and t. Write β and d to be $\beta(k,t)$ and $d(k,t)$ respectively. Let H be a k-graph of order n sufficiently large with $\delta_{k-1}(H) \ge (1 - \beta + \gamma)\,n$. By Lemma 7.3, we can partition $V(H)$ into $W_1, \dots, W_p$ such that $|W_j| \ge dn$ and W_j is (K^k_t, i_0, η_1)-closed in H for $1 \le j \le p$ and some i_0, p and η_1 independent of n.

For $1 \le j \le p$, we say that (j, η_j)-close to mean $(K^k_t, j(i_0+1)-1, \eta_j)$-close, where $\eta_j > 0$ is a sufficiently small constant independent of n and its value will be become clear. Therefore, by Proposition 2.2 in order to prove the lemma, it is enough to show that for vertices x_0 and y_0, they are (j, η_j)-closed for some $1 \le j \le p$. There is nothing to prove if x_0 and y_0 are in the same W_j. Thus, we may assume that $x_0 \in W_1$ and $y_0 \in W_p$ with $p \ge 2$.

Let $X = W_0$ and $Y = \bigcup_{i=2}^{p} W_i$. By Lemma 7.5, there are at least $\epsilon_0 n^{t+1}$ triples (x, y, T) with $x \in X$, $y \in Y$, $T \in \binom{V}{t-1}$ such that both $x \cup T$ and $y \cup T$ form K^k_t in H. Thus, there is an integer $2 \le j \le p$ such that there are at least $\epsilon_0 n^{t+1}/(p-1)$ such triples with $x \in W_1$ and $y \in W_j$. Arbitrarily pick $y_j \in W_j$. Therefore, there are at least $\epsilon_0 n^{t+1}/2(p-1)$ such triples with $x \in W_1 \backslash x_0$ and $y \in W_j \backslash y_j$. Fix one such triple (x, y, T). Let $m = i_0 t - 1$. Since $x \in W_1$ and so x is $(1, \eta_1)$-close to x_0, there exist at least

$$\eta_1 n^m - (t+1)n^{m-1} \ge \eta_1 n^m/2$$

m-sets S_X such that $S_X \cap (T \cup \{x_0, y_j, x, y\}) = \emptyset$ and both $H[S_X \cup x_0]$ and $H[S_X \cup x]$ contain K_t^k-factors, and fix one such S_X. Similarly, as $y \in W_j$, there are at least

$$\eta_1 n^m - (m + t + 1)n^{m-1} \geq \eta_1 n^m / 2$$

m-sets S_Y such that $S_Y \cap (S_X \cup T \cup \{x_0, y_j, x, y\}) = \emptyset$ and both $H[S_Y \cup y_j]$ and $H[S_Y \cup y]$ contain K_t^k-factors, and fix one such S_Y. Set $S = S_X \cup S_Y \cup T \cup \{x, y\}$. Note that both $H[S \cup x_0]$ and $H[S \cup y_j]$ contain K_t^k-factors and $|S| = (2i_0 + 1)t - 1$. Therefore, there at least

$$\frac{1}{((2i_0+1)t-1)!} \times \frac{\epsilon_0 n^{t+1}}{2(p-1)} \times \frac{\eta_1 n^m}{2} \times \frac{\eta_1 n^m}{2} = \frac{\epsilon_0 \eta_1^2 n^{2i_0+1)t-1}}{((2i_0+1)t-1)!8(p-1)}$$

distinct S, so x_0 and y_j are $(2, \eta_2)$-close to each other with $\eta_2 = \epsilon_0 \eta_1^2 / (((2i_0 + 1)t - 1)!8(p-1))$. Recall that $y_j \in W_j$ is chosen arbitrarily, so x_0 is $(2, \eta_2)$-close to every vertex in W_j. If $j = p$, then we are done. Without loss of generality, we may assume that $j = 2$. By repeating the argument for the vertex partition $W_1 \cup W_2, W_3, \ldots, W_p$, we conclude that x_0 is (p, η_p)-close to y_0. Thus, H is (p, η_p)-closed. ☐

8 Remarks on Theorem 1.4

Note that $l_0(k, k+1) = 1$ for $t = 3, 4$ and $l_0(k, k+1) \geq 2$ for $t \geq 5$. Thus, we have the following corollary by combining Theorem 1.3 and Theorem 1.4 for $t = k + 1$.

Corollary 8.1. *For integers $n \geq k \geq 3$ with $k + 1 | n$,*

$$t^k(n, k+1) \leq \begin{cases} \left(\frac{3}{4} + o(1)\right) n & \text{if } k = 3 \\ \left(\frac{9}{11} + o(1)\right) n & \text{if } k = 4 \\ \left(\frac{13}{15} + o(1)\right) n & \text{if } k = 5 \\ \left(\frac{19}{21} + o(1)\right) n & \text{if } k = 6 \\ \left(1 - \frac{k + \mathbf{1}_{k, odd}}{2k^2} + o(1)\right) n & \text{if } k \geq 7. \end{cases}$$

Moreover, equality holds for $k = 3$.

Note that the bounds in Theorem 1.4 are due to Lemma 6.1 and Lemma 7.1. If t is large compared to k, then the bound from Lemma 6.1 dominates. It should be noted that by a simple modification of the proof of Lemma 6.1, we can show that if

$$\delta_{k-1}(H) \geq \left(1 - \frac{t-1}{t\binom{t-1}{k-1}} - \gamma\right) n$$

with n sufficiently large then there exist vertex disjoint copies of K_t^k covering all but at most $\gamma' n$, where $\gamma, \gamma' > 0$. Thus, we can deduced that

$$t^k(n, t) \leq \max\left\{1 - \beta(k, t) + \gamma, 1 - \frac{t-1}{t\binom{t-1}{k-1}} - \gamma\right\} n,$$

where γ are strictly positively functions tending to zero as $n \to \infty$. As we have mentioned in the introduction, we would like to know the asymptotic values of $t^k(n, t)$.

Acknowledgment

During the submission of this paper, we learned that Keevash and Mycroft [11] determined the exact value of $t^3(n, 4)$ for n sufficiently large using a different method.

The authors would like to thank Jie Han for pointing out various errors in the earlier version of the manuscript.

References

[1] N. Alon and J. H. Spencer, *The probabilistic method*, second ed., Wiley-Interscience Series in Discrete Mathematics and Optimization, Wiley-Interscience [John Wiley & Sons], New York, 2000, With an appendix on the life and work of Paul Erdős.

[2] J. A. Bondy and U. S. R. Murty, *Graph theory*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, vol. 244, Springer, New York, 2008.

[3] K. Corrádi and A. Hajnal, *On the maximal number of independent circuits in a graph*, Acta Math. Acad. Sci. Hungar. **14** (1963), 423–439.

[4] G. A. Dirac, *Some theorems on abstract graphs*, Proc. London Math. Soc. (3) **2** (1952), 69–81.

[5] Richard A. Duke, Hanno Lefmann, and Vojtěch Rödl, *On uncrowded hypergraphs*, Proceedings of the Sixth International Seminar on Random Graphs and Probabilistic Methods in Combinatorics and Computer Science, "Random Graphs '93" (Poznań, 1993), vol. 6, 1995, pp. 209–212.

[6] P. Erdős, *On extremal problems of graphs and generalized graphs*, Israel J. Math. **2** (1964), 183–190.

[7] E. Fischer, *Variants of the Hajnal-Szemerédi theorem*, J. Graph Theory **31** (1999), no. 4, 275–282.

[8] D. A. Grable, K. T. Phelps, and V. Rödl, *The minimum independence number for designs*, Combinatorica **15** (1995), no. 2, 175–185.

[9] A. Hajnal and E. Szemerédi, *Proof of a conjecture of P. Erdős*, Combinatorial theory and its applications, II (Proc. Colloq., Balatonfüred, 1969), North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1970, pp. 601–623.

[10] H. Hàn, Y. Person, and M. Schacht, *On perfect matchings in uniform hypergraphs with large minimum vertex degree*, SIAM J. Discrete Math. **23** (2009), no. 2, 732–748.

[11] P. Keevash and R. Mycroft, *A geometric theory for hypergraph matching*, Arxiv preprint arXiv:1108.1757 (2011).

[12] I. Khan, *Perfect matching in 3 uniform hypergraphs with large vertex degree*, ArXiv e-prints (2011).

[13] ———, *Perfect Matchings in 4-uniform hypergraphs*, ArXiv e-prints (2011).

[14] H. Kierstead and D. Mubayi, *Toward a Hajnal-Szemeredi theorem for hypergraphs*, Arxiv preprint arXiv:1005.4079 (2010).

[15] A. Kostochka, D. Mubayi, V. Rödl, and P. Tetali, *On the chromatic number of set systems*, Random Structures Algorithms **19** (2001), no. 2, 87–98.

[16] D. Kühn and D. Osthus, *Loose Hamilton cycles in 3-uniform hypergraphs of high minimum degree*, J. Combin. Theory Ser. B **96** (2006), no. 6, 767–821.

[17] ______, *Matchings in hypergraphs of large minimum degree*, J. Graph Theory **51** (2006), no. 4, 269–280.

[18] ______, *Embedding large subgraphs into dense graphs*, Surveys in combinatorics 2009, London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Ser., vol. 365, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 2009, pp. 137–167.

[19] D. Kühn, D. Osthus, and A. Treglown, *Matchings in 3-uniform hypergraphs*, Arxiv preprint arXiv:1009.1298 (2010).

[20] O. Pikhurko, *Perfect matchings and K_4^3-tilings in hypergraphs of large codegree*, Graphs Combin. **24** (2008), no. 4, 391–404.

[21] V. Rödl and A. Ruciński, *Dirac-type questions for hypergraphs–a survey (or more problems for Endre to solve)*, An Irregular Mind (Szemerédi is 70), vol. 21, Bolyai Soc. Math. Studies, 2010.

[22] V. Rödl, A. Ruciński, and E. Szemerédi, *Perfect matchings in large uniform hypergraphs with large minimum collective degree*, J. Combin. Theory Ser. A **116** (2009), no. 3, 613–636.

[23] R. Yuster, *Combinatorial and computational aspects of graph packing and graph decomposition*, Computer Science Review **1** (2007), no. 1, 12–26.